

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO 09/436,656 11/09/1999 KENJI TAGAWA 00177/530318 6961

7590

10/22/2003

WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK 2033 "K" STREET N W SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER O CONNOR, GERALD J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3627

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					[]	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>		_
	Office Action Commence	09/436,656	Tagawa et al.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner O'Connor		Art Unit <b>3627</b>		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	pondence addr	ess	
	for Reply					
THE I - Extens mailing - If the   - If NO   - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Since of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In grade of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n no event, however, may a rep the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be S from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S	after SIX (6) MONTO e considered timely. ng date of this comm 6.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 🗶	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July 28</u> ,	2003 (Amdt "B" and	RCE)		·	
2a) 🗶	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>22-42</u>		is/are	pending in th	e application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>29-42</u>		is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		<del></del>	is/are allowed		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 22-28			is/are rejected	l.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected	d to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ct to restric	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) $\square$ accepted or 1	o) 🗆 objecte	d to by the Ex	raminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(	(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌	approved	b) disappro	ved by the Examine	ŧ۲.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
_	( All b) Some* c) None of:					
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a</li></ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	this National	Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			e).		
a) [						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_13

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Application: 09/436,656 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 28, 2003 (Paper № 16) has been entered.

## **Preliminary Remarks**

- 2. This Office action responds to the amendment and arguments filed by applicant on July 28, 2003 (Paper № 16) in reply to the Office action mailed January 28, 2003.
- 3. The amendment of claim 22 by applicant in Paper Nº 16 is hereby acknowledged.

### Election/Restriction

4. Claims 29-42 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper Nº 11.

Application: 09/436,656 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Imai et al. (US 5,870,467). Note that, in making this rejection, the extensively recited functional language has been deemed merely intended usage of the invention, hence, afforded little patentable weight. See MPEP §2114.

Imai et al. show a data conversion apparatus 100 comprising: a data transmission/receiving section 11; a data format judging section 3; an attribute information obtaining section 4; a user ID storage section storing identification information identifying the user of the data conversion apparatus (a user ID identifying the user of the data conversion apparatus being necessarily, thus inherently, present in order to perform the disclosed "authentication"); a ciphering section 132 for ciphering the attribute information (ciphering being necessarily, thus inherently, present in order to "protect" the data in the manner disclosed); a data format conversion section 5 for adding the

Application: 09/436,656 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

ciphered attribute information and identification information to the audio contents; and, a controller 1. See, in particular, Figure 11.

Regarding claim 23, the data conversion apparatus of Imai et al. further comprises a data outputting section 6.

Regarding claim 24, the data conversion apparatus of Imai et al. further comprises a recording section 105 and a charging section 104.

Regarding claim 25, the data transmission/receiving section of Imai et al. includes a data read-out portion 6 and a network interface 102.

Regarding claim 26-28, the recited functional language has been deemed merely intended usage of the invention, hence, afforded little patentable weight. See MPEP §2114.

## Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Regarding the argument that Imai et al. does not disclose all of the recited functional language recited by applicant's apparatus claims relative to the specific copyright/data protection scheme/format known as "superdistribution" (though "superdistribution" is specifically addressed by Imai et al.) a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably

Application: 09/436,656 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPO 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPO 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.
- 10. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application: 09/436,656 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-1525, and whose facsimile number is **(703)** 746-3976.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

Inquiries of a general nature or simply relating to the status of the application should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of mail, fax, or hand delivery, *fax being preferred*. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231." **Faxed replies should be directed to (703) 872-9306** (fax-back auto-reply receipt service provided). Hand delivered replies should be left with the receptionist on the seventh floor of Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Dr, Arlington, VA 22202.

GJOC

October 17, 2003

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Seft wirdes